the Land Acquisition Act, 1870, do not need to be registered. (G. Rs. Nos. 2766, dated 6th April 1883, 3025, dated 18th idem, and 9767, dated 24th December 1889.)

4. Receipts for sums of Rs. 100 or upwards paid to occupants of lands purchased for forest purposes should be registered, the charge of registration being met from the sum sanctioned by Government for taking up the lands. (G. R. No. 5175, dated 24th June 1885.)

5. Receipts passed by a mortgagee for payments made to him by his mortgagor in liquidation of the mortgage-debt do not require to be registered under clause (*b*), Section 17 of Act III of 1877, because the payments do not operate to affect the mortgagee's interest in the land; they merely reduce the sum due on the mortgage. (G. R. No. 2766, dated 6th April 1883.)

6. The registration of awards made under the Land Acquisition Act is not compulsory, but is only optional under the terms of clause (*i*) of Section 17 and clause (*f*) of Section 18 of the Indian Registration Act, 1877. (G. R. No. 1978, dated 13th March 1889.)

XII.—IDENTIFICATION OF EXECUTANTS, &c.

1. The Governor in Council desires specially to call the attention of all Registrars and Sub-Registrars to Rule* 39 of the Rules framed under Section 80 of the Registration Act, 1866, and to impress upon all Registering Officers the evils that might arise from any case in which a false personification had been admitted, and the hardships that might ensue therefrom in after years to the person or persons thus falsely personified, or their heirs. All Registering Officers should, before ordering registration of any document presented for registration, satisfy themselves, as thoroughly as possible, of the identity of the executants and securities. (G. R. No. 2808, dated 22nd July 1868.)

* *Vide* Rule 58 of the Rules under the Indian Registration Act III of 1877 printed at pp. 349-374 of the Compilation of General Rules.

2. Although the Registering Officer must satisfy himself as to the identity of the person admitting execution, care should be taken that he does not require evidence which cannot reasonably be expected, and the Inspector General of Registration should do all in his power to prevent unnecessary inconvenience being caused on this account. (G. R. No. 158, dated 9th January 1883.)

3. There is no authority for compelling executants to bring witnesses to identify from a distance, if such evidence as would satisfy a Court of Justice can be obtained on the spot. The inconvenience and cost of registration should not be increased by an unreasonable view of the requirements of the law and rules. (G. R. No. 8232, dated 8th November 1883.)

XIII.—INTERPRETATIONS AND LEGAL OPINIONS.

1. (*Section 3*).—It would seem to be difficult to frame a definition of 'moveable' and 'immoveable'